A Bayesian Perspective on Residential Demand Response Using Smart Meter Data

Datong-Paul Zhou, Maximilian Balandat, and Claire Tomlin

University of California, Berkeley

[datong.zhou, balandat, tomlin]@eecs.berkeley.edu

September 30, 2016

Background

Reliable grid operation is dependent on adequate supply and flexible resources

Background

- Reliable grid operation is dependent on adequate supply and flexible resources
- 2009: CAISO proposes "Proxy Demand Resource Product" to "facilitate the participation of existing retail demand programs in the ISO market"
- Proxy Demand Resources (PDRs) offer bids to reflect flexibility to adjust load in response to market schedules

Background

- Reliable grid operation is dependent on adequate supply and flexible resources
- 2009: CAISO proposes "Proxy Demand Resource Product" to "facilitate the participation of existing retail demand programs in the ISO market"
- Proxy Demand Resources (PDRs) offer bids to reflect flexibility to adjust load in response to market schedules
- CPUC Resolution E-4728 (July 2015) approves "an auction mechanism for demand response capacity, called the demand response auction mechanism (DRAM)"
- (Residential) Demand Response Providers with the ability to aggregate customers capable of reducing load participate in the ISO day-ahead, real-time ancillary services market

Background

- Reliable grid operation is dependent on adequate supply and flexible resources
- 2009: CAISO proposes "Proxy Demand Resource Product" to "facilitate the participation of existing retail demand programs in the ISO market"
- Proxy Demand Resources (PDRs) offer bids to reflect flexibility to adjust load in response to market schedules
- CPUC Resolution E-4728 (July 2015) approves "an auction mechanism for demand response capacity, called the demand response auction mechanism (DRAM)"
- (Residential) Demand Response Providers with the ability to aggregate customers capable of reducing load participate in the ISO day-ahead, real-time ancillary services market
- Utilities can obtain resource adequacy through residential resources
- **•** Benchmarking of reduction potential by using consumption "baselines"

Measuring Reduction in Consumption

Estimate the demand reduction by using suitable baselines (counterfactuals)

Figure: Courtesy of Maximilian Balandat

Previous Work¹

• Short-term load forecasting on the individual household level

 $1D$. Zhou, M. Balandat, and C. Tomlin. Residential Demand Response Targeting Using Observational Data, 55th Conference on Decision and Control, December 2016 (to appear).

Previous Work¹

- Short-term load forecasting on the individual household level
- \bullet K-means clustering of daily electricity load shapes

 $1D$. Zhou, M. Balandat, and C. Tomlin. Residential Demand Response Targeting Using Observational Data, 55th Conference on Decision and Control, December 2016 (to appear).

Previous Work¹

- Short-term load forecasting on the individual household level
- \bullet K-means clustering of daily electricity load shapes

- Estimation of counterfactual consumption to estimate DR reduction
- Measured the variability of users with entropy
- *Targeting*: Users with high variability seem to reduce more during DR events

 $¹D$. Zhou, M. Balandat, and C. Tomlin. Residential Demand Response Targeting Using Observational</sup> Data, 55th Conference on Decision and Control, December 2016 (to appear).

Agenda

Overview of Machine Learning tools for short-term load forecasting

Agenda

- **Overview of Machine Learning tools for short-term load forecasting**
- Inclusion of physically motivated latent variables to improve prediction accuracy
- Key idea: Estimate whether a user has "high" or "low" consumption
	- If consumption at t is high, it is probably high at $t + 1$, as well
	- If consumption at t is low, it is probably low at $t + 1$, as well

Agenda

- **Overview of Machine Learning tools for short-term load forecasting**
- Inclusion of physically motivated latent variables to improve prediction accuracy
- Key idea: Estimate whether a user has "high" or "low" consumption
	- If consumption at t is high, it is probably high at $t + 1$, as well
	- If consumption at t is low, it is probably low at $t + 1$, as well
- **•** Bayesian Perspective in STLF: Encode this consumption variable as an unobserved, latent variable
	- **Conditional Gaussian Mixture Model**
	- Hidden Markov Model

Agenda

- **Overview of Machine Learning tools for short-term load forecasting**
- Inclusion of physically motivated latent variables to improve prediction accuracy
- Key idea: Estimate whether a user has "high" or "low" consumption
	- If consumption at t is high, it is probably high at $t + 1$, as well
	- If consumption at t is low, it is probably low at $t + 1$, as well
- **•** Bayesian Perspective in STLF: Encode this consumption variable as an unobserved, latent variable
	- **Conditional Gaussian Mixture Model**
	- Hidden Markov Model
- **•** Forecasting Algorithms to estimate DR Reduction
- Numerical Results of DR Case Study

Machine Learning for Short-Term Load Forecasting

• Regression Problem: $y = f(X, \beta)$, where X is the covariate matrix

Machine Learning for Short-Term Load Forecasting

- Regression Problem: $y = f(X, \beta)$, where X is the covariate matrix
- **Ordinary Least Squares**

$$
\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \arg\min_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2
$$

• Ridge (L2) and Lasso (L1) Regression

$$
\hat{\beta}_{L1} = \arg\min_{y} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1 \to \hat{y}_{L1} = X\hat{\beta}_{L1}
$$

$$
\hat{\beta}_{L1} = \arg\min_{y} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2 \to \hat{y}_{L2} = X\hat{\beta}_{L2}
$$

Machine Learning for Short-Term Load Forecasting

- Regression Problem: $y = f(X, \beta)$, where X is the covariate matrix
- **Ordinary Least Squares**

$$
\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \arg\min_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2
$$

• Ridge (L2) and Lasso (L1) Regression

$$
\hat{\beta}_{L1} = \arg\min_{y} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1 \to \hat{y}_{L1} = X\hat{\beta}_{L1}
$$

$$
\hat{\beta}_{L1} = \arg\min_{y} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2 \to \hat{y}_{L2} = X\hat{\beta}_{L2}
$$

• k -Nearest Neighbors Regression

$$
\hat{y}_{KNN}=(y_1+\ldots+y_k)/k
$$

- **Decision Tree Regression**: Cross-validation on max. depth and min. samples per node
- **Support Vector Regression**: Cross-validation on slack variables

Latent Variable Models - Mixtures

Mixture of Linear Regression Models

- Consider K linear regression models, each governed by own weight w_k
- Assume a *common* noise variance σ^2
- Mixture distribution with mixing proportions $\{\pi_k\}$:

$$
\mathbb{P}(y|\mathbf{w}, \sigma^2, \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(y|w_k \cdot x, \sigma^2)
$$

Latent Variable Models - Mixtures

Mixture of Linear Regression Models

- Consider K linear regression models, each governed by own weight w_k
- Assume a *common* noise variance σ^2
- Mixture distribution with mixing proportions $\{\pi_k\}$:

$$
\mathbb{P}(y|\mathbf{w}, \sigma^2, \pi) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(y|w_k \cdot x, \sigma^2)
$$

- Idea: Interpret electricity consumption as a result of behavioral archetypes $k = 1, \ldots, K$
- **•** Expectation-Maximization Algorithm yields update rules:

$$
\gamma_{nk} := \mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\ell(z|x_n, \theta^{\text{old}})\right] = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(y_n|w_k \cdot x_n, \sigma^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(y_n|w_j \cdot x_n, \sigma^2)}
$$

$$
\pi_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk}, \quad w_k = \left[X^{\top}DX\right]^{-1} X^{\top}DY, \quad D = \text{diag}(\gamma_{1k}, \dots, \gamma_{nk})
$$

$$
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_{nk} (y_n - w_k \cdot x_n)^2
$$

Latent Variable Models - Mixtures (cont'd.)

Mixture Models for Prediction

- IID assumption of Mixture Models \Rightarrow cannot capture the temporal correlation in time series data
- **•** Prediction Method 1: Convex combination of learners:

$$
\hat{y} = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\pi}_k \hat{w}_k \cdot x
$$

Latent Variable Models - Mixtures (cont'd.)

Mixture Models for Prediction

- IID assumption of Mixture Models \Rightarrow cannot capture the temporal correlation in time series data
- **•** Prediction Method 1: Convex combination of learners:

$$
\hat{y} = \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\pi}_k \hat{w}_k \cdot x
$$

• Prediction Method 2: If model *i's* covariates are "spatially separated" from model j:

$$
j = \arg\min_{1 \le i \le N} ||x_i - x||_2
$$

$$
\hat{y} = \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma_{jk} \hat{w}_k \cdot x
$$

Latent Variable Models - Hidden Markov Models

- **Q** Data often is not i.i.d.
- Use on sequential data, e.g. time series, speech recognition, DNA sequences

Latent Variable Models - Hidden Markov Models

- **Q** Data often is not i.i.d.
- Use on sequential data, e.g. time series, speech recognition, DNA sequences

Figure: Hidden Markov Model. Hidden States q, Observations y

Latent Variable Models - Hidden Markov Models

- **Q** Data often is not i.i.d.
- Use on sequential data, e.g. time series, speech recognition, DNA sequences

Figure: Hidden Markov Model. Hidden States q, Observations y

Figure: 3 state latent variable, Gaussian emission model $\frac{9}{15}$

Elements of HMMs

• Hidden Layer: Transition between states is governed by a Markov Process

$$
a_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(q_t = j | q_{t-1} = i), \ a_{ij} > 0, \quad 1 \le i, j \le M, \ t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N
$$

Elements of HMMs

• Hidden Layer: Transition between states is governed by a Markov Process

$$
a_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(q_t = j | q_{t-1} = i), \ a_{ij} > 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M, \ t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N
$$

Figure: Markov Transition Diagram, 24 hour periodicity

Elements of HMMs

• Hidden Layer: Transition between states is governed by a Markov Process

$$
a_{ij} = \mathbb{P}(q_t = j | q_{t-1} = i), \ a_{ij} > 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M, \ t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N
$$

Figure: Markov Transition Diagram, 24 hour periodicity

Observables: Hidden states "emit" observations according to some distribution, e.g. Gaussian:

$$
\mathbb{P}(y_t = y | q_t = q) = \frac{1}{\sigma_q \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \mu_q)^2}{2\sigma_q^2}\right)
$$

Parameter Estimation

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation and EM Algorithm**
- Use Bayes Rule and conditional independencies of graphical model:

$$
\mathbb{P}(q_t|y) =: \frac{\alpha(q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)\beta(q_t)}{\mathbb{P}(y)}\\ \mathbb{P}(q_t, q_{t+1}|y) = \frac{\alpha(q_t)\beta(q_{t+1})a_{q_t, q_{t+1}}\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_{t+1}|q_{t+1})}{\mathbb{P}(y)}
$$

• Compute $\alpha(q_t)$, $\beta(q_t)$ with the famous α - β -recursion

Parameter Estimation

- **Maximum Likelihood Estimation and EM Algorithm**
- Use Bayes Rule and conditional independencies of graphical model:

$$
\mathbb{P}(q_t|y) =: \frac{\alpha(q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)\beta(q_t)}{\mathbb{P}(y)}\\ \mathbb{P}(q_t, q_{t+1}|y) = \frac{\alpha(q_t)\beta(q_{t+1})a_{q_t, q_{t+1}}\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_{t+1}|q_{t+1})}{\mathbb{P}(y)}
$$

• Compute $\alpha(q_t)$, $\beta(q_t)$ with the famous α - β -recursion Inference: Filtering, Predicting, Smoothing

$$
\mathbb{P}(q_t|y_0,\ldots,y_t) = \frac{\alpha(q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)}{\mathbb{P}(y_0,\ldots,y_t)} \n\mathbb{P}(q_{t+1}|y_0,\ldots,y_t) = \frac{\alpha(q_{t+1})}{\mathbb{P}(y_0,\ldots,y_t)} \n\mathbb{P}(q_t|y_0,\ldots,y_n) = \frac{\alpha(q_t)\mathbb{P}(y_t|q_t)\beta(q_t)}{\mathbb{P}(y_0,\ldots,y_n)}, \quad 0 < n < t
$$

HMM − Case Study

Application of HMM on electricity consumption of residential customers

- Fit a HMM on the electricity consumption of 273 customers
- Use estimated latent variable as an additional covariate for parametric regression on electricity consumption

HMM − Case Study

Application of HMM on electricity consumption of residential customers

- Fit a HMM on the electricity consumption of 273 customers
- Use estimated latent variable as an additional covariate for parametric regression on electricity consumption

Algorithm 1 Real-Time Prediction

- $1:$ procedure FITREGRESSION(T)
- $2:$ Split observations into training and test sequence: $(y_0, \ldots, y_T), (y_{T+1}, \ldots, y_N)$
- Fit HMM on training sequence (y_0, \ldots, y_T) $3:$
- Estimate Hidden States (q_0, \ldots, q_T) $4:$
- *Model 1* \leftarrow Fit Regression without (q_0, \ldots, q_T) $5:$
- *Model* 2 \leftarrow Fit Regression with (q_0, \ldots, q_T) 6:
- 7: procedure STEPWISEPREDICTION(U)

```
for i = T + 1, \ldots, N do
8 -
```
- $Q₂$ Predict u_i with *Model 1*
- Predict hidden state q_i $10¹$
- Predict y_i with *Model* 2 $11:$
- if $i-T \% U = 0$ then $12:$
- Retrain HMM on y_0, \ldots, y_i $13:$
- *Model 1* \leftarrow Retrain without (q_0, \ldots, q_i) $14:$
- *Model* 2 \leftarrow Retrain with (q_0, \ldots, q_i) $15:$

HMM – Case Study

Application of HMM on electricity consumption of residential customers

- Fit a HMM on the electricity consumption of 273 customers
- Use estimated latent variable as an additional covariate for parametric regression on electricity consumption

Algorithm 1 Real-Time Prediction

- $1:$ procedure FITREGRESSION(T)
- $2:$ Split observations into training and test sequence: $(y_0, \ldots, y_T), (y_{T+1}, \ldots, y_N)$
- Fit HMM on training sequence (y_0, \ldots, y_T) $3:$
- Estimate Hidden States (q_0, \ldots, q_T) $4:$
- *Model 1* \leftarrow Fit Regression without (q_0, \ldots, q_T) $5:$
- *Model* 2 \leftarrow Fit Regression with (q_0, \ldots, q_T) 6:
- 7: procedure STEPWISEPREDICTION(U)

for $i = T + 1, \ldots, N$ do $8 -$

- $Q₂$ Predict y_i with *Model 1*
- Predict hidden state q_i $10¹$
- Predict y_i with *Model* 2 $11:$
- if $i-T \% U = 0$ then $12:$
- Retrain HMM on y_0, \ldots, y_i $13:$
- *Model 1* \leftarrow Retrain without (q_0, \ldots, q_i) $14:$
- *Model* 2 \leftarrow Retrain with (q_0, \ldots, q_i) $15:$

Covariates

- 5 previous hourly consumptions
- 5 previous hourly ambient air temperatures
- Model 1: Hour of Day, one-hot encoded
- Model 2: Hour of Day interacted with latent variable, one-hot encoded

HMM – Results of Case Study (cont'd.)

Comparison of Prediction Accuracy

Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE):

$$
\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\hat{y}_t - y_t}{y_t} \right|
$$

HMM – Results of Case Study (cont'd.)

Comparison of Prediction Accuracy

Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE):

Figure: MAPEs across 273 users for different ML methods and with / without latent variable

HMM – Results of Case Study (cont'd.)

Estimated Reductions During DR Hours by Hour of Day

Figure: Boxplots for estimated DR reduction by hour of the day. Top row: "High" consumption, Bottom Row: "Low" consumption, Left Column: Automated users, Right Column: Non-automated users

The End Questions?